DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL RECEIVED

## Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

DEC 1 9 1994]

In the Matter of	)	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF SECRETARY
	)	
Allocation of Spectrum	)	
below 5 GHz Transferred	)	ET Docket No. 94-32
from Federal Government Use	)	

#### LORAL/QUALCOMM PARTNERSHIP, L.P.

John T. Scott, III William D. Wallace Crowell & Moring 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-2505 (202) 624-2500

Leslie A. Taylor Leslie Taylor Associates 6800 Carlynn Court Bethesda, MD 20817-4302 (301) 229-9341

December 19, 1994

No. of Copies rec'd\_\_\_\_\_\_List A B C D E

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	ii
I. The Commission Should Allocate All the Bands Made Available by the US Government for Use by the Mobile Satellite Service	2
II. The Commission Should Allocate the 2390-2400 MHz Band for Non-GSO MSS in the Earth-to-Space Direction	3
III. The Commission Should Allocate the 2402-2417 MHz Band for Non-GSO MSS in the Space-to-Earth Direction	4
IV. The Commission Should Allocate the 4660-4685 MHz Band for Non-GSO MSS Feederlinks in the Earth-to-Space Direction	5
V. Non-GSO MSS Systems Require Substantial Additional Amounts of Spectrum to Meet Service Demand	6
VI. The Commission Must Consider the Important Public Benefits Which Will Flow From Providing More Spectrum Allocations to MSS	8
VII. Spectrum Allocated for Use by Non-GSO MSS Systems Should Not Be Made Available Pursuant to Competitive Bidding	.0
VII. Conclusion	. 1

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Commission has proposed allocations for 50 megahertz of spectrum identified by the Department of Commerce for transfer from Federal Government to private sector usage. The Commission proposes that the bands 2390-2400 MHz, 2402-2417 MHz and 4660-4685 MHz be allocated for general Fixed and Mobile services. LQP urges the Commission instead to allocate this spectrum for user and feeder links for non-geostationary mobile satellite services.

LQP believes that such allocations are needed to accommodate the service requirements which will result once the MSS applicants begin providing needed mobile communications services within the U.S. and around the world. The frequencies made available by such a reallocation of spectrum are needed to meet expected high levels of market demand, particularly for second generation mobile satellite systems.

LQP proposes that the 2390-2400 MHz be allocated for MSS in the Earth-to-space direction, and the 2403-2417 MHz band be allocated for MSS in the space-to-Earth direction. The 4660-4685 MHz band should be allocated to the FSS in both the space-to-Earth and the Earth-to-space direction with FSS use in the Earth-to-space direction designated for use by MSS feeder links. In addition, the 2300-2310 MHz band should also be allocated for MSS, in either the space-to-Earth or Earth-to-space directions or both.

Finally, LQP believes that spectrum allocated for mobile satellite service should not be made available pursuant to competitive bidding, as it may result in imposing excess costs on U.S. licensees, with detrimental effect on the service operators and ultimately, the U.S. consumer.

# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Allocation of Spectrum	)	
below 5 GHz Transferred	)	ET Docket No. 94-32
from Federal Government Use	)	

#### COMMENTS OF LORAL/QUALCOMM PARTNERSHIP, L.P.

Loral/QUALCOMM Partnership, L.P., ("LQP") hereby respectfully submits its comments in the above-captioned proceeding.\(^1\) The Commission, in its Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, ("Notice"), proposes allocations for 50 megahertz of spectrum identified by the Department of Commerce for transfer from Federal Government to private sector usage. The Commission proposes that the band 2390-2400 MHz, 2402-2417 MHz and 4660-4685 MHz be allocated for general Fixed and Mobile services, rather than specifying these bands for particular uses.\(^2\) The Commission states that "such a flexible allocation that relies substantially on market forces may be appropriate" and proposes that licenses for this spectrum be made available through competitive bidding.\(^3\)

As discussed below, LQP urges the Commission instead to allocate this spectrum for user and feeder links for non-geostationary mobile satellite service ("MSS"). Such allocations would enable non-GSO MSS systems to address the enormous forecast demand for handheld communications service to be provided by MSS, enhancing telecommunications service within the United States as well as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> <u>See Notice of Proposed Rulemaking</u>, FCC 94-172, released November 8, 1994 ("50 MHz NPRM").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Notice, at para. 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> **Id**.

globally, and making available telecommunications in many locations where none is now available. LQP is an applicant for authority to provide non-geostationary MSS in the 1610-1626.5 MHz and 2483.5-2500 MHz band, and as such, has a significant interest in the allocation of spectrum below 5 GHz.

### I. The Commission Should Allocate All The Bands Made Available by the U.S. Government for Use by Mobile Satellite Service (MSS)

LQP urges the Commission to allocate all of the initial 50 MHz made available by the National Telecommunications and Information Administration for use by MSS, specified for use by non-geostationary systems. The availability of additional spectrum for non-GSO MSS systems will enable these systems to fulfill their promise "to stimulate economic growth both in the United States and abroad. A potential multi-billion dollar industry will be enabled, creating opportunities for economic growth in a variety of markets and sub-markets."

LQP, along with five other Big LEO applicants, are seeking licenses to provide voice, data and position location service directly from satellites to individual consumer handsets. These first generation systems propose to use the 1610-1626.5 MHz and 2483.5-2500 MHz bands for user links; however, the limited amount of spectrum available will restrict the total market which can be served. These non-geostationary MSS systems, such as LQP's Globalstar, will provide communications in many locations where none is now available, provide additional communications in sparsely served areas, and enable both U.S. citizens and others to enjoy the benefits of ubiquitous, convenient communications capability wherever they may be. The availability of such communications capability will enhance the security of and facilitate the conduct of business by American business people everywhere.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> <u>See</u> Address of FCC Chairman Reed E. Hundt, to the World Telecommunication Development Conference, Buenos Aires, Argentina (Mar. 21-22, 1994).

An allocation for non-GSO MSS would be a significant step towards the Commission's "major goal" in preparations for the 1995 World Radiocommunication Conference (WRC-95) "to facilitate the introduction of worldwide MSS."<sup>5</sup>

### II. <u>The Commission Should Allocate the 2390-2400 MHz Band for Non-GSO</u> MSS in the Earth-to-Space Direction

The 2390-2400 MHz band should be allocated for non-GSO MSS in the Earth-to-space direction and the 2402-2417 MHz band should be allocated for non-GSO MSS in the Space-to-Earth direction to provide additional capacity for first and second generation MSS systems. The spectrum now available for such systems is proposed to be shared by up to five systems. While such spectrum sharing will enable prompt action on the pending applications for non-GSO MSS systems, it will limit the capacity of each system. The addition of user link spectrum, in the 2390-2400 MHz and 2402-2417 MHz bands would enable these systems to provide more service to more users over the next decade. In addition, such spectrum could be incorporated into the second generation non-GSO MSS systems, thereby expanding the capacity of those systems. A U.S. domestic allocation of the 2390-2400 MHz and 2402-2417 MHz bands for non-GSO MSS also will pave the way for U.S. proposals for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>See Notice of Inquiry</u>, <u>In the Matter of Preparation for International Telecommunication Union World Radiocommunication Conferences</u>, IC Docket No. 94-31, FCC 94-96, released May 5, 1994, at para. 19.

In addition to the 2402-2417 MHz band, the Commission also should consider allocating the 2300-2310 MHz band for use by non-GSO MSS systems, in either the space-to-Earth or Earth-to-space direction, or both. See, LQP Reply Comments filed June 30, 1994 in the Notice of Inquiry in ET DOcket No. 94-32.

 $<sup>^7\</sup>underline{See}$  Report and Order, FCC 94-261 (Released October 14, 1994) ("Big LEO Rules Order") at para 10 .

international allocation of these bands for non-GSO MSS.8

LQP proposes that the bands 2390-2400 MHz band be allocated for non-GSO MSS in the Earth-to-space direction. Additional user spectrum in the Earth-to-space direction for non-GSO MSS systems is especially critical in light of the Commission's plan to divide the 1610-1626.5 MHz band between the CDMA/TDMA system and multiple CDMA systems.<sup>9</sup> The CDMA systems face other constraints on use of the lower portion of the 1610-1626.5 MHz band, such as the need to protect radioastronomy operations, GPS and possibly GLONASS receivers.<sup>10</sup>

### III. <u>The Commission Should Allocate the 2402-2417 MHz Band for Non-GSO MSS in the Space-to-Earth Direction</u>

The Commission should allocate the 2402-2417 MHz band for non-GSO MSS in the space-to-Earth direction. As with the 2390-2400 MHz band, this spectrum could provide needed additional capacity in first generation non-GSO MSS systems. LQP believes that ISM and Part 15 use would have insignificant impact on non-GSO MSS downlinks in this band. Moreover, at a recent international ITU Radiocommunication Sector meeting on sharing between services, Task Group 2/2,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See, Notice of Inquiry, IC Docket No. 94-31 (Released May 5, 1994) and FCC Public Notice announcing the establishment of Industry Advisory Committee for WRC-95 and notice of first meeting, released May 25, 1995.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See, Big LEO Rules and Order at para. 44.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>See Big LEO Rules NPRM, 9 FCC Rcd 536 at 1122-24; <u>LQP Comments on</u> Big LEO Rules NPRM, at pp. 62-72, and Technical Appendix at Sections 2.1-2.2.

Previously, LQP proposed that this band be allocated for non-GSO MSS in the space-to-Earth direction. However, LQP is pursuing identification of other suitable bands for non-GSO MSS uplinks and believes that the 2390-2400 MHz band, in view of the requirements of radioastronomy, would be more suitable as an MSS downlink.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See <u>LQP Comments</u>, <u>supra</u> note 12.

a Draft New Recommendation was adopted which stated that bands in which ISM systems operate are "unattractive in many countries for digital radio-relay systems." This Draft New Recommendation provides criteria to be used as coordination threshold values for coordination between non-GSO MSS (space-to-Earth) and fixed service systems in a number of bands below 3 GHz and specifies power flux density values to protect analog fixed service systems and FDP (fractional degradation of performance) values to protect digital systems. Thus, substantial study has been completed which validates the ability of non-GSO MSS systems operating in the space-to-Earth direction to share with terrestrial fixed systems.

The methodology developed for coordinating non-GSO MSS systems with terrestrial fixed could readily be adapted to the 2402-2417 MHz band, facilitating its use by both services. In the case of a system like Globalstar, demonstration has already been made of its ability to operate within PFD limits which will protect terrestrial fixed service.<sup>14</sup>

### IV. <u>The Commission Should Allocate the 4660-4685 MHz Band for Non-GSO MSS Feederlinks in the Earth-to-Space Direction</u>

LQP urges the Commission to allocate the 4660-4685 MHz band for non-GSO MSS feeder links in the Earth-to-space direction. As the Commission itself has noted, the identification of suitable feeder link spectrum below 16 GHz for non-GSO MSS systems, is exceedingly difficult. However, in the <u>Big LEO Report and Order</u> the Commission expressed the view that it would seek to accommodate the requirements of the non-GSO MSS applicants for feeder links in the portions of the spectrum in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>See, "Sharing in the Frequency Bands in the 1-3 GHz Frequency Range Between the Non-Geostationary Space Stations Operating in the Mobile-Satellite Service and the Fixed Service," Document 2-2/TEMP/89 (Rev.1), December 8, 1994.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See, Document 2-2/TEMP/89 (Rev. 1), December 8, 1994.

which they propose to operate.<sup>15</sup>

With regard to the use of the 4660-4685 MHz band for non-GSO MSS feeder links in the Earth-to-space direction, LQP believes that this band would be a useful adjunct to spectrum in the 5 GHz band which may become available for MSS feeder links. At the recent ITU-R Working Party 4 A and Task Group 4/5 meetings, papers were submitted demonstrating the utility of using the FSS Allotment Bands, including the 4500-4800 MHz band, for non-GSO MSS Feeder links, in the reverse direction from the fixed-satellite service allocations. Based on these inputs ITU-R Task Group 4/5 concluded that "sharing of non-GSO/MSS feeder links in RBW mode in the C and Ku band FSS allocations has been found to be feasible. The TG 4/5 Report noted that "(I)f the bands corresponding to the FSS Allotment Plans are considered by WRC-95 for a new allocation to non-GSO/MSS feeder links, protection of the plan would need to be ensured by specific provisions." Such an allocation and suitable regulatory provisions should be included in U.S. proposals to WRC-95.

### V. <u>Non-GSO MSS Systems Require Substantial Additional Amounts of</u> Spectrum to Meet Service Demand

The Commission, in deciding how to allocate the initial 50 MHz of spectrum made available by the Federal Government, must give substantial weight to the requirements of services, particularly new services, such as MSS, for spectrum. The demand for handheld service from non-GSO MSS is expected to be considerable, growing from a base of around 3 to 4 million subscribers at the beginning of the 21st

<sup>15</sup> Big LEO Rules RM, cited supra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> <u>See</u>, Document 4-5/102, November 15, 1994.

 $<sup>^{17}</sup>$  <u>Document 4-5/SUM/</u>, cited supra.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> <u>Supra.</u>, at p. 17.

century, to between 8 to 13 million by 2005, and up to 22 to 37 million by 2010.19

Research undertaken by MSS operators, as well as independent analyses, have identified the following three main markets for MSS service: (1) cellular fill-in market consisting of those users who require mobile service in rural parts of the developed world and in areas of countries where terrestrial cellular coverage may be limited; (2) the international business traveler market consisting of professionals who travel to regions with incompatible or limited cellular or PSTN services; and (3) the semi-fixed user market consisting of users requiring services in urban and rural areas of countries which lack developed PSTN.<sup>20</sup>

From the MSS subscriber projections, the total bandwidth requirements has been calculated. This approach is similar to that used in telecommunications traffic engineering and considers the peak traffic stream that must be supported. Using this methodology, MSS handheld voice service (from non-GSO satellites) will require the following amounts of spectrum in the year 2005:<sup>21</sup>

Market	Subscribers	Equivalent Spectrum Requirement (each direction)
<b>Estimate</b>	$\underline{\text{Millions}}$	for Handheld Voice Personal Communications MSS
Low	4.11	19.3 MHz
Low	6.0	28.1 MHz
Medium	15.0	70.2 MHz
High	22.0	103 MHz

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> IWG-3/11 (Rev.2), Submission to WRC-95 Industry Advisory Committee, December 13, 1994, at p. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Supra., at pp. 2-3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Note: these estimates do not include spectrum requirements needed to meet "conventional" GSO MSS needs. <u>Supra.</u>, at p. 4.

These projections indicate that substantial additional spectrum resources are needed for non-GSO MSS. The Commission can begin to address this requirement by allocating the 50 MHz made available from the federal government for non-GSO MSS user and feeder links.

The Commission already has allocated substantial amounts of spectrum for terrestrial mobile services.<sup>22</sup> It is currently conducting licensing proceedings for the use of that spectrum and it will be a number of years before a determination can be made as to the benefits that will accrue from that service. Mobile service via satellite is a necessary adjunct to terrestrial mobile services; spectrum should be made available for MSS.

### VI. The Commission Must Consider the Important Public Benefits Which Will Flow From Providing More Spectrum Allocations to MSS

The mobile satellite service industry is already a vigorous part of the U.S. economy. From a user base of a few hundred terminals in the late 1970s, the global commercial mobile satellite services market now totals more than 150,000 users. If users of the U.S. Department of Defense Global Positioning System are included, the total number of mobile satellite users would exceed 500,000.

The largest operator of mobile satellite services, in terms of numbers of users, is California-based QUALCOMM, which has more than 100,000 US users in its customer base. QUALCOMM has enjoyed steady growth in revenues and numbers of subscribers, and already has FCC authorization to provide service to up to 150,000 terminals.

Revenues from mobile satellite services are now poised for enormous growth. More than \$350 million in revenues from mobile satellite services was generated in the U.S. in 1993 alone, and one study projects that revenue growth for 1994 is likely

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>See, Second Report and Order ("PCS Allocation Order"). GEN Docket No. 90-314, 8 FCC Rcd 7700 (1994) at para. 25.

to exceed \$450 million.<sup>23</sup>

For 1995, revenue growth should be even more robust, given the number of developments anticipated in the mobile satellite market. These include the further evolution of mobile satellite terminals into briefcase-size satellite telephones; the introduction of lower cost mobile satellite voice services by the U.S. domestic MSS provider in 1995; and implementation of the first low earth orbiting, data-only mobile satellite system.

A number of industry studies have been conducted to further quantify the positive impact to be expected from mobile satellite services. One study showed the beneficial impact on the U.S. economy resulting from the implementation of only one of the five proposed low earth orbiting mobile satellite systems would generate some \$5 billion in employment-creating activity, and most of it to be spent in the U.S..<sup>24</sup>

The implementation of non-geostationary mobile satellite systems will stimulate the U.S. economy in a number of areas, including, in the satellite industry, design, development, production and operations; and launch vehicle services. For the ground segment, networks will need to be designed, operations centers developed, built and operated. Customer terminals, sales, service, distribution and billing will be required. Since 1982, U.S. companies received more than \$1 billion of Inmarsat procurment awards.<sup>25</sup>

The vast majority of the effort involved in these activities would be performed on an on-going basis, as non-GSO satellites need to be replaced approximately every 5 to 10 years, networks reconfigured, new applications developed and terminals redesigned to meet changing service requirements. As the Commission pointed out,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> The Market for Mobile Satellite Services: Prospects for LEOs and GEOs, Leslie Taylor Associates, distributed by Phillips Business Information, Inc., 1994 at 241.

 $<sup>^{24}</sup>$  "Inmarsat's Project 21 and US Policy," prepared for Iridium, Inc., Nathan Associates, June 5, 1992 at 28 - 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Opposition of COMSAT Corp. to Petition for Declaratory Ruling, COMSAT Corp., December 8, 1993 at iv.

the Big LEO systems will "create a major global industry", generating demand for satellites, ground stations, customer terminals and sophisticated, value added telecommunications services.<sup>26</sup>

### VII. <u>The Commission Should Consider Spectrum Sharing Alternatives to Competitive Bidding</u>

The Commission, in proposing allocation of the 50 MHz of spectrum to be made available for commercial services, suggests that competitive bidding be used as the means of licensing systems.<sup>27</sup> While such an approach may be suitable for terrestrial services which are provided solely within U.S. borders, LQP believes that competitive bidding is not suitable for satellite allocations, particularly for global services.

Competitive bidding for licenses to provide global non-GSO MSS service would not promote the objectives of the Budget Act's auction provisions<sup>28</sup>, which include development and rapid deployment of new technologies, products and services for the benefit of the public...without administrative or judicial delays," the promotion of "economic opportunity and competition and ensuring that new and innovative technologies are readily accessible to the American public by avoiding excessive concentration of licenses," the "avoidance of unjust enrichment through the methods employed to award uses of [the spectrum] resource," and the "efficient and intensive use of the electromagnetic spectrum."<sup>29</sup>

The Commission recently moved forward to enable the licensing and implementation of global non-geostationary satellite service by LQP and others

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>See, Big LEO Rules Order at para 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> See 50 MHz NPRM, at para. 23 and footnote 33.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993, Pub. L. 103-66, Title VI, 107 Stat. 312, 387-97 (Aug. 10, 1993).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Supra., at Section 309(j)(3).

through the use of procedures that did not include an auction.<sup>30</sup> The Commission adopted a spectrum sharing plan, strict financial qualifications and coverage and service requirements which would provide the greatest assurance that the public interest is furthered through prompt implementation of worldwide service by qualified applicants.<sup>31</sup> Similarly, when additional spectrum is made available for use by non-GSO MSS systems, LQP believes that appropriate mechanisms other than competitive bidding would enable the furtherance of the public interest.

#### VIII. Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, LQP urges the Commission to allocate the 2402-2417 MHz for non-GSO MSS in the space-to-Earth direction, 2390-2400 MHz for non-GSO MSS in the Earth-to-space direction, and 4660-4685 MHz to FSS to be used for non-GSO MSS feeder links in the Earth-to-space direction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>See, Big LEO Rules Order at para. 41.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Supra., at para. 44, 30-42, and 23, respectively.

#### Respectfully submitted,

#### LORAL/QUALCOMM PARTNERSHIP, L.P.

John T. Scott, III

William D. Wallace

Crowell & Moring

1001 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004-2505

(202) 624-2500

Leslie A. Taylor

Leslie Taylor Associates

6800 Carlynn Court

Bethesda, MD 20817-4302

(301) 229-9341

Its Attorneys

December 19, 1994

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Andrew F. Taylor, hereby certify that on this 19th day of December, 1994, copies of the foregoing "Comments of Loral/QUALCOMM Partnership, L.P." were mailed, postage prepaid, to the following:

Lon C. Levin Vice President American Mobile Satellite Corp. 10802 Parkridge Blvd. Reston, VA 22091

Robert A. Mazer Nixon, Hargrave, Devans & Doyle One Thomas Circle, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20005

Bruce D. Jacobs Glenn S. Richards Fisher, Wayland, Cooper & Leader 1255 23rd Street N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20037

Jill Abeshouse Stern Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 2300 N Street N.W. Second Floor Washington, D.C. 20037

Victor J. Toth, P.C. Law Offices 2719 Soapstone Drive Reston, VA 22091

Norman P. Leventhal Raul R. Rodriguez Stephen D. Baruch David S. Keir Leventhal, Senter & Lerman 2000 K Street N.W. Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20006-1809 Richard Parlow
Associate Administration for
Spectrum Management
National Telecommunications and
InformationAdministration
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Avenues, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20230

The Honorable Larry Irving
Administrator
National Telecommunications and
Information Administration
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th & Constitution Avenues, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20230

Barry Lambergman Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth P.O. Box 33847 Washington, D.C. 20033-0847

Philip L. Malet Steptoe & Johnson 1330 Connecticut Ave. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Alicia A. McGlinchey COMSAT Mobile Communications 22300 COMSAT Drive Clarksburg, MD 20871 Michael D. Kennedy Michael A. Menius Motorola Inc. Government Relations Office 1350 I Street N.W. Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20005

Tom W. Davidson
Paul S. Pien
Akin, Gump, Strauss, Hauer &
Feld, L.L.P.
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20036

Gary M. Epstein Latham & Watkins 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

Thomas S. Tycz
Deputy Chief, Domestic Facilities
Division
Federal Communications Commission
2025 M Street, N.W.
Room 6010
Washington, D.C. 20554

Walter Sonnenfeldt Walter Sonnenfeldt & Associates 4904 Ertter Drive Rockville, MD 20852

Robert A. Frazier
Spectrum Engineering & Planning
Division
ASM-500
Federal Aviation Administration
800 Independence Avenue, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20591

David Struba NASA Headquarters Code OI Washington, D.C. 20546 Thomas Trimmer U.S. Army Hoffman II Room 9865 200 Stovall Street Alexandria, VA 22332

David Buchanan Chairman, Regulatory Review Committee California Public-Safety Radio Asso. c/o County of San Bernadino Radio Division 1743 Miro Way Rialto, CA 92376

Jeffrey Sheldon Sean Stokes Utilities Telecommunications Council 1140 Connecticut Avenue N.W. Suite 1140 Washington, D.C. 20036

Robert J. Miller Gardere & Wynne, L.L.P. 1001 Elm Street Suite 3000 Dallas, TX 75201

Christopher D. Imlay Booth, Freret & Imlay 1233 20th Street, N.W. Suite 204 Washington, D.C. 20036

Southern California Repeater and Remote Base Asso. P.O. Box 5967 Pasadena, California 91117 Mark C. Rosenblum Kathleen F. Carroll Ernest A. Gleit AT&T Room 3261B3 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920

Roland P. Penta President, Phelps Sungas, Inc. 224 Cross Road Geneva. New York 14456

Tim Stoffel Rochester VHF Group P.O. Box 92122 Rochester, NY 14692

James Burroughs Vice President & General Counsel NPGA 4301 North Fairfax Drive Suite 340 Arlington, VA 22203

Robert L. Riemer National Research Coucil Commission on Physical Sciences 2101 Constitution Avenue Washington, D.C. 20418

H. Denny Chase Chairman of the Board Cactus Radio Club, Inc. P.O. Box 711511 Santee, CA 92072-1511

Kent Britain 1626 Vineyard Rd. Grand Prairie, TX 75052-1405

Tommy Pope Emergency Services Agency City of Durham 314 North Mangum Street Durham, NC 27701 Michael Roberts
Director, First Nations Development
Institute
The Stores Building
11917 Main Street
Fredricksburg, VA 22408

E.R. Bowler E.V. Williams Co. Inc. P.O. Box 938 Norfolk, VA 23501

Jeffrey H. Olsen
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton
& Garrison
1615 L Street N.W.
Suite 1300
Washington, D.C. 20036

Wayne V. Black Joseph M. Sandri, Jr. Keller & Heckman 1001 G Street N.W. Suite 500 West Washington, D.C. 20001

John D. Lane Robert M. Gurss Wilkes, Artis, Hedrick & Lane 1666 K Street N.W. Suite 1100 Washington, D.C. 20006

Carroll F. White Police Communications New York City Transit Police Dept. 806 Ninth Avenue - CSU New York, NY 10019

David J. Hensing American Assoc. of State Highway & Transportation Officials 444 n. Capitol Street, N.W. Suite 249 Washington, D.C. 20001 James P. Tuthill Theresa L. Cabral 140 New Montgomery St. Room 1529 San Francisco, CA 94105

James L. Wurtz 1275 Penn. Ave. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004

E.R. Angle Western States VHF Microwave Society P.O. Box 35 Lomita, CA 90717-0035

John Eramo & Sons, Inc. 1686 Williams Road Columbus, Ohio 43207

David E. Weisman Alan S. Tilles Meyer, Faller, Weisman & Rosenberg, P.C. 4400 Jenifer Street N.W. Suite 380 Washington, D.C. 20015

James D. Ellis Mary W. Marks 175 E. Houston 12th Floor San Antonio, Texas 78205

Frank Della Corte GEC Plessey Semiconductors, Inc. 1500 Green Hills Road P.O. Box 660017 Scotts Valley, CA 95067-2900

Amateur radio Council of Arizona Frequency Coordination Committee C/O Ralph S. Turk, Chairman P.O. Box 5188 Tucson, Arizona 85703 San Bernadino Microwave Society c/o Bill Burns 247 Rebel Road Ridgecrest, CA 93555

Gregory T. Hochstetter President North Carolina Services Manager Charlotte Meclenburg Police Dept. 825 East 4th Street Charlotte, NC 28202

Herb D. Twitchell P.O. Box 459 Balboa Island, CA 92662

William A. Tynan, President Radio Amateur Satellite Corp. P.O. Box 27 Washington, D.C. 20044

Dr. William A. Baan Spectrum Manager National Astronomy and Ionsphere Center P.O. Box 995 Arecibo, Puerto Rico 00613

Carl Guastaferro Norther Amateur Relay Council of California, Inc. P.O. Box 60531 Sunnyvale, CA 94088-0531

Mike Collis Amateur Television Network P.O. Box 1594 Crestline, CA 92325

Matt L. Rodriguez, Chairman Major Cities Chiefs of Police Superintendent, Chicago Police Dept. 1121 South State Street Chicago, Illinois 60605 Chris Fischer Director of Communications Valley Communications Center 23807 98 Ave. South Kent WA 98031

Harlin E. McEwen, Chairman Communications Committee Chief of Police Ithaca Police Dept. 120 East Clinton Street Ithaca, New York 14850-5689

Gary David Gray, P.E. Chief Telecommunications Engineer County of Orange GSA/Communications Division 840 North Eckhoff Street Suite 104 Orange, California 92668-1021

Joseph Garodnick, Ph.D. Executive Vice President InterDigital Communications Corp. 833 Northern Blvd. Great Neck, NY 11021

Jack Taylor, Esq. 9215 Rancho Drive Elk Grove, CA 95624

Robert L. Greene Attorney at Law 15 East 26th Street New York, NY 10010

Graham Barnes Director of Marketing Western Multiplex Corp. 300 Harbor Blvd. Belmont, CA 94002 John Lloyd Utah VHF Society Frequency Coordinator 11560 Sandy Creek Drive Sandy, Utah 84094

Carressa D. Bennet Margaret D. Nyland Kraskin & Associates 2120 L Street N.W. Suite 810 Washington, D.C. 20037

Carl Wayne Smith
Chief Regulatory Counsel,
Telecommunications
Dept. of Defense
Code AR
Defense Information Systems Agency
701 S. Courthouse Road
Arlington, VA 22204

William A. Burns 247 Rebel Road Ridgecrest, CA 93555

Kevin Kearns King County Dept. of Public Works Yesler Building 400 Yesler Way, Room 700 Seattle, WA 96104-2637

Ken Bellmard Attorney at Law 205 West Hartford Suite A Ponca City, OK 74601

Eric Schimmel Vice President Telecommunications Industry Assoc. 2001 Penn. Ave. N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20006 David Buchanan Chairman Refulatory Review Committee c/o County of San Bernadino Radio Division 1743 Miro Way Rialto, CA 92376

Michael D. Kennedy Stuart E. Overby Motorola, Inc. 1350 I Street N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

Gregory M. Schmidt Ronald J. Krotoszynski, Jr. Covington & Burling 1201 Penn. Ave. N.W. P.O. Box 7566 Washington, D.C. 20044

Richard G. Geiger Goldberg, Godles, Wiener & Wright 1229 Nineteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Henry Goldberg Goldberg, Godles, Wiener & Wright 1229 Nineteenth St. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Gail Polivy GTE Service Corp. 1850 M Street N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

James M. Burger Apple Computer, Inc. 1550 M Street, N.W. Suite 100 Washington, D.C. 20005 Curt Hafner Marquette Electronics, Inc. The Critical Care Telemetry Group 8200 West Tower Ave. Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53223

William McBride
Pacific Communications, Inc.
The Critical Care Telemetry Group
2041 South Grand Avenue
Santa Ana, CA 92705

James F. Lovette Apple Computer, Inc. One Infinite Loop MS-301-4J Cupertino, CA 95014

Yossi Elaz Siemens Medical Systems, Inc. The Critical Care Telemetry Group 16 Electronics Ave. Danvers, MA 01923

Jeffrey H. Olson Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison Spacelabs Medical, Inc. The Critical Care Telemetry Group 1615 L Street, N.W. Suite 1300 Washington, D.C. 20036

M. Tobin Critchell SCRRBA P.O. Box 5967 Pasadena, CA 91117

Peter Tannenwald Mitchell Lazarus Symbol Technologies, Inc. 1101 S. Winchester Blvd. Suite B-110 San Jose, CA 95128 Arent, Fox, Kintner, Potkin & Kahn Symbol Technologies, Inc. 1050 Conn. Ave. N.W. Suite 500 West Washington, D.C. 20001

Andrew J. Pease, Jr. Webber Energy Fuels 700 Main Street, P.O. Box 929 Bangor, Maine 04402-0929

Earl S. Vann Vann Gin Co., Inc. RFD 1, Box 138-BB Murfressboro, N.C. 27855

Superior Asphalt Company, Inc. Post Office Box 1257 Mount Dora, FL 32757

Bruce K. Lowe County of Tulare General Services Department 2500 W. Burrel Ave. Visalia, CA 93291

Andrew F. Taylor